DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 479 306 SE 068 223

AUTHOR	Nakhleh, Mary B.
TITLE	Some Thoughts about Molecular-Level Representations in Conceptual Problem Solving.
PUB DATE	2002-00-00
NOTE	9p.; Paper presented at the Problem Solving in Chemistry Online Conference (June 9-24, 2002).
AVAILABLE FROM	Web site: http://www.chem.vt.edu/confchem/2002/b/nakhleh.htm.
PUB TYPE	Guides - Classroom - Teacher (052) -- Opinion Papers (120)
EDRS PRICE	EDRS Price MF01 Plus Postage. PC Not Available from EDRS
DESCRIPTORS	*Chemistry; Concept Formation; Concept Teaching; *Freehand Drawing; Higher Education; Molecular Structure; *Problem Solving; Science Instruction

ABSTRACT

One of the more interesting areas of problem solving in chemistry attempts to answer the question, "What do students understand about the molecular level of chemistry?" This question is also implicit in the more traditional area of mathematical problem solving but in this paper, more focus is placed on devising ways to help students develop a sound conceptual understanding of chemistry which includes molecular-level representations. It is suggested that having students express their understanding of the molecular level in drawings accompanied by written explanations is a powerful way of helping students shift their focus from the macroscopic (bulk property) level of matter to thinking about the nature of the invisible molecular world. This shift of focus seems to require a difficult transition in student thinking and the drawings seem to help students begin to make that transition. These drawings are also useful tools for the professor or TA (teaching assistant) to assess their students' understanding of molecular-level concepts. When drawing understanding of the molecular level, a great deal about what is known what is unknown about the molecular nature of chemistry is revealed. Therefore, these drawings can be useful in checking students' developing understanding (formative assessment) and determining students' level of understanding on an exam (summative assessment). This paper presents some of the ways in which molecular-level representations can be incorporated into a chemistry course. Based on the experiences, it is suggested that students view these representations in a variety of ways. Many students find them interesting and challenging. Others are incensed that chemistry does not simply consist of numerical problems. Still others think that a window has been opened to the molecular world for them. (Author/SOE)

Reproductions supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made
from the original document.

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY
M. Nakhleh
TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
2A

Some Thoughts About Molecular-Level Representations in Conceptual Problem Solving

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
☒ This document has been reproduced as received from the person or organization originating it.
☐ Minor changes have been made to improve reproduction quality.
• Points of view or opinions stated in this document do not necessarily represent official OERI position or policy.

Mary B. Nakhleh
Department of Chemistry
Purdue University

ED 479 306

One of the more interesting areas of problem solving attempts to answer the question "What do my students understand about the molecular level of chemistry?" Hopefully, this question is also implicit in the more traditional area of mathematical problem solving, but I have focused on devising ways to help students develop a sound conceptual understanding of chemistry, which includes molecular-level representations (1-4). I have found that having students express their understanding of the molecular level in drawings accompanied by written explanations is a powerful way of helping students shift their focus from the macroscopic (bulk property) level of matter to thinking about the nature of the invisible molecular world. This shift of focus seems to require a difficult transition in students' thinking, and the drawings seem to help students begin to make that transition.

These drawings are also useful tools for the professor or TA to assess their students' understanding of molecular-level concepts. Students truly have nowhere to hide in a drawing. When you draw your understanding of the molecular level, you reveal a great deal about what you know and don't know about the molecular nature of chemistry. Therefore, these drawings

SE068223

can be useful in checking students' developing understanding (formative assessment) and in determining students' level of understanding on an exam (summative assessment).

These molecular-level representations are fairly flexible in the ways that they can be used. Students can create their own drawings or students can analyze drawings made for them. These representations can be used in lecture or recitation to aid exposition and development of a concept or they can be used equally well as an assessment tool. Students can use them to think about open-ended conceptual problems. Molecular-level drawings are not a "magic bullet", but they are a powerful tool in helping students learn conceptual problem solving.

The remainder of this short paper presents some of the ways in which molecular-level representations can be incorporated into a chemistry course. I have incorporated molecular-level representations into my courses using each of the methods discussed in this paper, and I can report that students view them in a variety of ways. Many students find them interesting and challenging. Others are incensed that chemistry does not simply consist of numerical problems. Still others think that a window has been opened to the molecular world for them. All of these students require practice in solving and discussing these types of problems.

My personal bias is that students in chemistry courses must strive for proficiency in many types of problem solving (mathematical, algorithmic, conceptual) and must be able to utilize multiple modes of thinking about and representing problems (5, 6, 8-10). These modes can include text, mathematical equations and graphs, drawings, and symbols. Chemistry uses all these modes of representation and requires students to alternate thinking between the macroscopic level and the molecular level. Small wonder most of our students find chemistry hard going.

The following examples are drawn from various studies by myself and others, and I look forward to receiving the readers' comments.

Interactive problem solving in small groups. Molecular-level representations can provide an appropriate focus for open-ended questions designed to promote group discussions about chemistry concepts (3, 4). Depending on the size of your class, these questions could be the focus of a short discussion in lecture or could be used for a more in-depth discussion in a recitation section. The lecture discussion could be done in small groups of 4-5 or could be done very quickly by asking students to discuss the problem briefly with their neighbors.

The problem I call "What's in the Beaker?" is an example (5, 6) of this approach (see Figure 1, problem 1). In this problem students are told that they have two beakers. One beaker is filled with a dilute solution of a strong acid (HCl). The other beaker is filled with a concentrated solution of a weak acid (CH_3COOH). The task is to draw and explain all the species that are present in each beaker.

The problem has a variety of solutions, depending on a person's or group's level of expertise with the molecular level and with acid-base chemistry. The main concepts involve knowing the distinctions between strong and weak acids and between concentrated and dilute solutions. The problem appears deceptively simple, but it will elicit a surprising amount of information from students about what they know (and don't know) about acid-base chemistry on the molecular level. Figure 1 displays additional examples of conceptual problems that can be used for interactive groupwork.

Problems requiring molecular-level drawings and explanations are usually revealing. Often these drawings indicate a weak (or nonexistent) understanding of ions, atoms, and molecules, but incomplete or incorrect drawings are also a very powerful "teaching moment." The professor can really see what further explanations are needed, and the students are really willing to listen to this explanation.

Figure 1. Examples of Molecular-Level Groupwork Questions

1. You have two beakers in front of you that contain clear, colorless liquids. One beaker contains a concentrated solution of a weak acid, CH_3COOH (acetic acid). The other beaker contains a dilute solution of a strong acid, HCl (hydrochloric acid). Draw the ions and molecules that you would find in each beaker. Don't forget to think about the role of water in these systems!

2. Consider a closed system which is maintained at equilibrium and which contains 10 molecules of solid $^{12}CO_2$ and 10 molecules of gaseous $^{13}CO_2$. The diagram below shows how the system would look on the molecular level at time = initial. Complete two more diagrams which show the contents of the container at time = one hour later, and time = 1 day later.



● = $^{12}CO_2$

○ = $^{13}CO_2$

3. Use drawings and text to explain the molecular-level processes that occur at the surface of a zinc anode immersed in zinc sulfate solution as oxidation takes place.

4. Draw what a saturated solution of AgBr would look like on a molecular level. The K_{sp} of AgBr = 5.0×10^{-13}.

5. Explain on a molecular level why the common ion effect decreases the solubility of a salt.

Using molecular-level representations on exams. Many of the problems from groupwork activities can be used for the conceptual questions or can be modified to be suitable. Useful insights into students' understanding can also be obtained by comparing performance on a conceptual question with a more traditional mathematical question on that same topic (7, 8, 9). Studies have shown that students usually do pretty well on solving traditional questions, but often have difficulty with conceptual problems, unless they have been explicitly taught how to approach conceptual problems (6, 8, 9). Figure 2 displays examples of conceptual molecular-level exam questions.

Figure 2. Examples of Molecular-Level Exam Questions

1. The equation for a reaction is $2\ S + 3\ O_2$ ------> $2\ SO_3$. Consider the mixture of S (□) and O_2 (○○) in a closed container as illustrated below:



Draw what is in the container after the reaction has stopped.

2. Consider the reaction $Pb(NO_3)_{2\ (aq)} + 2\ KI_{\ (aq)}$ ---> $2\ KNO_3$ $_{(aq)} + PbI_{2\ (s)}$

Draw and explain what this equation means on a molecular level.

3. Explain using words and/or drawings what chemical species are predicted to be present when 2 moles of $Pb(NO_3)_2$ finish reacting with 1 mole of KI.

Caveats. To be most effective, these molecular-level conceptual problems require some careful thinking about implementation. First, students need to become familiar with these problems; they may not have been required to think on the molecular level before. Therefore, they will find these problems unfamiliar and challenging. Second, you have to show the students that you value this approach, and you usually must overtly and clearly spell out why you value the approach. Otherwise, students view them as just pretty pictures to be memorized and then "brain dumped." Third, it is important to discuss the students' efforts at solving these problems in a positive manner; building on what is appropriate in their solution and not being sarcastic about what was wrong. In fact, the molecular-level problems done in my lectures or recitations are not graded. We simply discuss the merits of the presented solution and make whatever revisions are necessary. However, I do emphasize to the students that similar problems will be on the exams and quizzes in the course. Therefore, students perceive that they can practice the problems (and their thinking) before it really counts. Finally, having done all of the preceding, you must insure that the conceptual problems do indeed appear on the exams and quizzes and that they appear in sufficient quantity to make it worthwhile for students to learn to reason through them. For example, on my exams, typically 40% of the questions deal with concepts (many of which can be represented on the molecular level). The other questions are the more traditional calculation questions.

Why use molecular-level conceptual questions? A common pattern exists in all of the studies discussed in this paper: students have trouble reasoning through conceptual questions. Further, students have difficulty thinking about and

representing the molecular level in these conceptual questions. Therefore, if we want our students to think in macroscopic and molecular terms and to employ mathematical and conceptual reasoning, then we must incorporate conceptual, molecular-level problems in our courses to help students learn this way of understanding chemistry.

References

(1) Hinton, M. E. & Nakhleh, M. B. Students' Microscopic, Macroscopic, and Symbolic Representations of Chemical Reactions. *The Chemical Educator, 4 (4),* **1999**, http://journals.springer-ny.com/chedr.

(2) Nakhleh, M. B. Students' Models of Matter in the Context of Acid-Base Chemistry. *J. Chem. Educ., 71(6),***1994**, 495-499.

(3) Nakhleh, M. B. & Samarapungavan, A. Elementary School Children's Beliefs about Matter. *J. Res. in Sci. Teaching, 36 (7),* **1999**, 777-805.

(4) Wittrig, M. S. & Nakhleh, M. B. Students' Understanding of Separations, Mixtures, and Solutions as Revealed by Chromatography. Unpublished master's thesis.

(5) Nakhleh, M. B. Are Our Students Conceptual Thinkers or Algorithmic Problem Solvers? . *J. Chem. Educ., 70 (1),***1993**, 52-55.

(6) Nakhleh, M. B. & Mitchell, R. C. Concept Learning versus Problem Solving: There is a Difference. *J. Chem. Educ., 70 (3),***1993**, 190-192.

(7) Nakhleh, M. B., Lowrey, K.A. & Mitchell, R. C. Narrowing the Gap between Concepts and Algorithms in Freshman Chemistry. *J. Chem. Educ., 73 (8),***1996**, 758-762.

(8) Nurrenbern, S. C. & Pickering, M. Concept Learning versus Problem Solving: Is There a Difference? *J. Chem. Educ., 64 (6),* **187**, 508-510.

(9) Sawrey, B. A. Concept Learning versus Problem Solving: Revisited. . *J. Chem. Educ., 67 (3),* **1990**, 253-255.

(10) Gabel, D. L., Samuel, K. V. & Hunn, D. Understanding the Particulate Nature of Matter. *J. Chem. Educ. 64(8),* **1987**, 695-697.

Return to the **Summer'02 CONFCHEM**

U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)

ED 468223

REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: Some Thoughts About Molecular-Level Representations in Conceptual Problem Solving http://www.chem.vt.edu/confchem/2002/b/nakhleh.html

Author(s): Mary B. Nakhleh

Corporate Source: ChemConf

Publication Date: 2002

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

Level 1

Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) *and* paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A

Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Sample

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B

Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Signature: Mary B. Nakhleh

Printed Name/Position/Title: MARY B. NAKHLEH Associate Professor

Organization/Address: Dept. of Chemistry Purdue University

Telephone: 765-494-5314 FAX: 765-494-0239

E-Mail Address: mnakhleh@purdue.edu Date: 08/05/03

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

ERIC/CSMEE
1929 Kenny Road
Columbus
Ohio
43210-1080

Attn: NIQUI BECKRUM

Phone: 614-292-6717
Toll Free: 800-276-0462
FAX: 614-292-0263
email: ericse@osu.edu
http://www.ericse.org